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Proposed draft Guidelines 1087 and 1106

# Summary

At its 62nd session, the IALA Council considered draft Guidelines 1087 and 1106 and decided that the five documents should be referred to the ENAV Committee again to take account of the comment made by Norway about the lack of registers as part of the procedure and in view of recent MSC96 decisions and the results of the recent Workshop on Shore-based Services.

## Purpose of the document

This paper summarises the issues that have been dealt with in proposed draft Guidelines 1087 and 1106-0 in response to the input from ENAV 19-9.9

## Related documents

* C62-11.4.3.1 – Draft revised Guideline 1087 – Procedures for the Management of the IALA Domain under the IHO GI Registry
* C62-11.4.4.1 – Draft revised Guideline 1106-0 – Producing an IALA S-200 Series Product Specification
* C62-11.4.4.2 – Draft Guideline 1106-1 – IALA Product Specification Number Template
* C62-11.4.4.3 – Draft Guideline 1106-2 – Proposal for an Additional S-100 Feature Concept Dictionary (FCD) Item Name of Proposed FCD Item
* C62-11.4.4.4 – Draft Guideline 1106-3 – Product Specification Under Development - Template Body text.
* (C62-11.4.3.1) draft Guideline 1087 24-02-17.
* ENAV20-9.17 (C62-11.4.4.1) Draft Guideline 1106-0 24-02-17.

# Discussion

At the 62nd session of the IALA Council, the Councillor from Norway made the comments that the revised Guideline 1087 was incomplete, lacking in particular the registers that should be part of the procedure, and this was supported by the Vice Chair of the ENAV Committee.

Other comments were also made on the other four documents (Guideline 1106 in four parts) that led the Council to return the documents to the ENAV Committee.

The Council requested that the ENAV Committee take account of the comment made by Norway about the lack of registers as part of the procedure and in view of recent MSC96 decisions and the results of the recent Workshop on Shore-based Services.

# Examples of comments to the draft Guidelines 1087 and 1106

It was considered that the documents should be more user-oriented, instead of focusing solely on technical issues. Already in chapter 2 of the 1087 introduction, the guideline focuses on data and technical needs; not mentioning information required by the navigator.

The Guideline stated that the procedure solely focus on the management of IALA s-200 series. This should be expanded to include the influence on all relevant registries. This to secure that IALA MS can make use of the whole spectre of information in the day-to-day operational interaction with the seagoing users.

Additional text has been included to harmonise registries that relate to S-100 series product specifications when needs arise.

Chapter 6 has been redrafted to ensure that The IALA domain management and relationship with IHO should be more integrated with the present IALA structure however the existing structure of Committees and working groups within IALA, which can be changed from time to time, should not be detailed in the management guideline. The secretariat will be aware of the needs of managing the S-200 domain and with the normal approval of Council will provide the appropriate resources when necessary.

Therefore these guidelines should not depend on a particular IALA working group or committee structure.

Other changes to 1087 and 1106-0 have been made to clarify the text where there were misunderstandings

# Action requested of the Committee

The Committee is requested to review and update the draft Guidelines 1087 and 1106-0 proposed.
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